

-00





Container Orchestration, Cloud, and Petabytes of Data: The Rubin Observatory Example



Bastien Gounon Fabio Hernandez

Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3

Sabine Elles

Dominique Boutigny

Laboratoire d'Annecy de Physique des Particules

Fabrice Jammes

Laboratoire de Physique de Clermont

1

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope

- The largest astronomical catalog
- Cloud-Native: Kubernetes
- Cloud-Native: Gitops & Cl
- 5

6

3

- **Cloud-Native: Kubernetes Operators**
- Cloud-Native: Storage management
 - Cloud-Native: Workflows



A project that makes you dream

A revolutionary telescope The largest digital camera in the world The largest celestial catalogs ever made

Funding ~\$1 billion, 20% dedicated to data management Key role of CNRS/IN2P3

Objective: Define the nature of dark energy







The largest astronomical catalog



LSST will produce a catalog of **40 billion galaxies and stars** and their associated physical properties, i.e. **500 PB** of data

Catalog (stars, galaxies, objects, sources,transients, exposures, etc.)

4

QSERV The Petascale database

International context



Qserv design

Relational database, 100% open source Spatially-sharded with overlaps Map/reduce-like processing, highly distributed



right ascensio



~1000 workers, 20 chunks/5TB per workers

Highly automated deployment

Targets:

In France CC-IN2P3 will analyze 50% of the data stream and provide access to the entire catalog

In the US Google hosts the Interim Data Facility

~1000 machines per database instance

Coordination of Rubin Observatory, IN2P3 and Google Kubernetes accepted by the project and validated for 20% of the target



Cloud-Native Kubernetes

All you really care about





Workload portability

Portability

Build your apps on-prem, lift-and-shift into cloud when you are ready

Before Kubernetes

~3 months to deploy Qserv inside a new cluster

<u>With Kubernetes</u> 5 minutes to 1 day



Cloud-Native Gitops & Cl

Automated deployment: Cloud Native



CI in practice: Qserv integration tests



CI in practice: Qserv image scanning



Gitops: CI + IaC



Delegate access to infrastructure management Track who does what on infrastructure Recreate infrastructure from scratch Ease Kubernetes maintenance/upgrade

Kubernetes is fully managed by Google Cloud / GKE

In practice



Merge pull request #270 from I	sst/tickets/DM-29567 QServ DE	V GKE #19	
☆ Summary			Total dura
Jobs	🗭 dspeck1 pushed -0-fb0df7f master	Success	2m 3s
Terraform	qserv-dev-gke-tf.yami on: push		
	Contractor Terraform 1m 50s		

Add five nodes to the GKE cluster Kubernetes will then allow to easily scale Qserv

Cloud-Native Kubernetes operators

How does an operator works?



Qserv is available on operatorHub

https://operatorhub.io/operator/gserv-operator



Cloud-Native Storage management

Storage management

GKE: Dynamic storage provisionning

User deploy Qserv instance

Create PVClaims

Google Storage creates automatically PersistentVolume+Google Disks (ssd+hdd)

On-premise:

Storage is manually declared to Kubernetes (via PV) and created



Cluster

Admin

User

PersistentVolumes PVClaim Pod

Cloud-Native Workflows

A powerful data ingest workflow



Argo: screenshots



🔅 fjammes@clrinfoport18	💦 🔪 argo ge	t @latest tail -n 15	
STEP	TEMPLATE	PODNAME	DURATION
🗹 qserv-ingest-mdth4	main		
⊢∕ queue	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-2075476264	3s
—✓ register	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-964548720	4s
─✓ transactions	transactions	qserv-ingest-mdth4-1041421248	14s
─✓ check-transactions	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-3195504171	2s
⊣∕ publish	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-4256901816	12s
⊢✓ index-tables	index-tables		
│ └──✔ index-tables	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-1866502525	2s
⊣ ✓ validate	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-493206715	2s
└─� benchmark	benchmark		
└───✔ benchmark	ingest-step	qserv-ingest-mdth4-1797710727	5s

@	Workflows / default / qserv-ingest	-mdth4						WORK	FLOW DETAILS
v3.0.7		E LOGS							A B
Ξ	07:34	07:3	4	07:3	4 07:34	07:3	5 07:31	5 07:3	5
a	queue								
	register								
(' <u>A</u> ')	transactions								
Ļ	check-transactions								
<i>"</i>	publish								
6	index-tables								
	validate								
	benchmark								
•									
>									

Public cloud: pros and cons

Pros

- ★ Flexibility (access, provisioning)
- ★ Excellent support
- ★ Low maintenance
- ★ Cool proprietary features

Cost-effective over time if organizations learn to use and operate it more efficiently

Cons

- \star Cost difficult to understand
- ★ Vendor lock-in
- ★ Hide Kubernetes internals (black box)
- ★ Run slower than bare-metal (~25%)

The higher the average server load, the less attractive the cloud is financially



Qserv is going on

- 2 Container orchestration helps a lot
- 3 Commercial cloud is worth considering

Conclusion

Q&A

Bastien Gounon, Fabio Hernandez Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3

Sabine Elles, Dominique Boutigny Laboratoire d'Annecy de Physique des Particules

Fabrice JAMMES Laboratoire de Physique de Clermont

